1.      The European RTD Evaluation Network was established in 1997. Does it mean there wasn`t any evaluating of RTD by then?

2.      You have worked as a DG Research and Innovation in the European Commission. Maybe you have noticed some changes in evaluation of research and innovation that happened during your work there? Is it becoming more important now than 10 or 20 years ago?

3.      In the website of the European Commission is published the information „the Commission has very significantly strenghtened its commitment to evaluation since 2000 year“. Why was this decision taken?

4.      What are the purposes of evaluating research and innovation? Why is it important for a researchers? Many people think it is only a question about who can get funding but is it really so?

5.      What are the main criterias for evaluating research and innovation? What is better considered: appreciation of other researchers, quotation or practical adaptation?

6.      Interim evaluation helps to concretize the aims of the research or innovation (correct me if I am wrong) and what is the main benefit from ex-post evaluation?

7.      What are the main challenges in evaluation of research and innovation? Do we already have the perfect methodology to be sure evaluation is truthful?